

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form -- faxed to: 571. 270. 8865 (1 pp.)

In re the Application of

Hideaki KUME et al.

Group Art Unit: 1795

Application No.: 10/593,482

Examiner: A. KWON

Filed: September 20, 2006

Docket No.: 129189

For: FUEL CELL STACK

Tentative Participants:

(1) Smith Sirisakorn

(2) Ashley Kwon

(3)

(4)

Confirmed Date of Interview: 9/22/2009

Proposed Time: 11:00

(AM/PM) AM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic(2) ☒ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) §103(a)	1, 2, 7	2004/0265675 (Woodcock); and 2005/0084731 (Nishimura)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicants submit that the Woodcock reference at least fails to teach "a portion where the output terminal is connected as compared to other regions of the collector plate," as recited in independent claim 1 (emphasis added). Applicants submit that the features of the presently claimed invention are not directed to a result effective variable that is merely being optimized. The presently claimed features are directed to a specific arrangement of the collector plate, in order to achieve a specific result. Woodcock also fails to provide an enabling disclosure even suggestive of the specifically claimed heat capacity arrangement. Woodcock at paragraph [0019], does not disclose sufficient guideposts for a skilled artisan, through only routine experimentation, to arrive at these features.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)